


MASS. H1.2. C37/draft/supp/v.13

CENTRAL ARTERY/TUNNEL PROJECT

FHWA-MA-EIS-82-02-DS3

EOEA #4325



CHARLES RIVER CROSSING

Draft

SUPPLEMENTAL

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT/REPORT



Existing Charles River Crossing Area – Boston, Massachusetts

Index



Federal Highway Administration
Massachusetts Highway Department



July 1993



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2013

<http://archive.org/details/charlesrivercros03unit>

FHWA-MA-EIS-82-02-DS3
EOEA #4325



CHARLES RIVER CROSSING

Draft SUPPLEMENTAL

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT/REPORT

[v.3]

Index



Federal Highway Administration
Massachusetts Highway Department



July 1993

This Index covers the main volume of the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement/Report (Chapters 1 through 5) as well as the Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation located at the end of the main volume. This Index does not cover the summary in the main volume or the appendices.

INDEX

- Aesthetic impacts, 1.2-1.3. *See also*
 - Visual impacts
- Affected environment, 3.1-3.5
 - air quality, 4.41-4.43
 - archaeological resources, 4.83-4.84
 - existing transportation facilities, 3.3-3.4
 - fisheries, 4.73-4.74
 - historic resources, 4.78-4.79
 - neighborhoods, 3.2-3.3
 - noise, 4.52
 - vibration, 4.56
 - visual impacts, 4.88
- Air quality, affected environment, 4.41-4.43
 - air quality standards and guidelines, 4.41-4.42
 - changes since the 1991 FSEIS/R, 4.43
 - existing ambient air quality levels, 4.42-4.43
 - pollutants for analysis, 4.42
- Air quality impacts
 - combined, 4.49-4.50
 - construction impacts, 5.20
 - estimated areawide (mesoscale)
 - pollutant emissions, 4.44-4.45
 - mobile source carbon monoxide impacts, 4.45-4.47
 - project emissions, 4.43-4.44
 - summary, 4.51
 - ventilation building emissions impacts, 4.47-4.49
- Air rights, 4.99. *See also* Joint development
- Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 2.1
 - archaeological impacts and mitigation, 4.83-4.86
 - AWDT, 4.4
 - bridge structures, 2.9
 - comparison of major design features, table following page 2.1
 - construction staging, 5.6-5.7
 - costs, 4.99
 - description, 2.3-2.4
 - design refinements, 2.9-2.10
 - economic impacts, 4.33
 - energy impacts, 4.95-4.96
 - fisheries impacts, 4.74-4.75, 5.26-5.27
 - hazardous cargo connections to CANA tunnels, 4.12
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.79-4.83, 4(f).23-4(f).30
 - HOV operations, 4.13-4.16
 - joint development impacts, 4.35-4.37
 - land use, social, and farmland impacts, 4.20, 4.22-4.23
 - Leverett Circle/Nashua Street redesign, 2.9-2.10
 - materials disposal, 5.10-5.14
 - mobile source CO impacts, 4.46-4.47
 - navigation impacts, 4.71-4.73, 5.25-5.26
 - noise impacts, 4.53
 - Notice of Project Change, 1.6
 - open space impacts, 4.26-4.31
 - parklands, 4(f).3-4(f).23
 - productivity impacts, 4.97-4.98
 - relocation impacts, 4.32-4.33
 - right-of-way impacts, 4.31-4.32
 - roadway links, 2.3-2.4
 - Section 4(f) evaluation,
 - historic resources, 4(f).23-4(f).30
 - parklands, 4(f).3-4(f).23
 - structures, 2.3
 - traffic operations, 4.7-4.10
 - traffic safety, 4.12-4.13
 - traffic volumes, 4.4-4.6
 - transportation impacts summary, 4.2-4.3
 - ventilation buildings, 2.9, 4.45, 4.49
 - visual impacts, 4.90-4.91
 - water quality impacts, 4.75-4.76, 5.27-5.28
 - waterways impacts, 4.67-4.71, 5.23-5.25
 - wetlands impacts, 4.59-4.60, 4.62,

4.64, 5.22-5.23

Alternatives. *See also* Alternative 8.1D Mod 5; Non-River-Tunnel Alternative; Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative; Scheme Z

comparison of major features, table following page 2.12

descriptions, 2.1-2.12

Ambient Air Quality Standards (AAQS), 4.41

AM peak

I-93 mainline traffic operations, 4.7

intersection traffic operations, 4.9-4.10

Ancillary project facilities, land use impacts, 4.25-4.26

Aquatic resources

construction impacts,

coastal zone, 5.28

fisheries, 5.26-5.27

floodplains, 5.28

navigation, 5.25-5.26

water quality, 5.27-5.28

waterways, 5.23-5.25

wetlands, 5.22-5.23

ecosystem characteristics, 4.61-4.62

environmental impacts, 4.56-4.78

coastal barriers, 4.76

coastal zone, 4.77

comparison of Scheme Z and alternatives, 4.77-4.78

fisheries, 4.73-4.75

floodplains, 4.76

navigation, 4.71-4.73

waterbody modifications, 4.76

water quality, 4.75-4.76

waterways, 4.65-4.71

wetlands, 4.57-4.65

wildlife impacts, 4.76

wild and scenic rivers, 4.76

Archaeological resources, 4.83-4.86

affected environment, 4.83-4.84

construction impacts, 5.18-5.19

identified resources, 4.84

potential impacts and mitigation, 4.85-4.86

potential sites, 4.84-4.85

previous environmental documentation, 4.83

Area North of Causeway Street

affected environment, 3.1-3.5

boundaries, 3.1

proposed projects, 3.4-3.5

purpose and need for action, 1.2-1.3

Arterial streets, traffic volumes, 4.4-4.5

Arts Park, 4.28

Asphalt, disposal of, 5.13

Average weekday daily traffic. *See* AWDT

AWDT (average weekday daily traffic)

intersections, 4.6-4.7

Leverett Circle/Storrow Drive/Charles Circle, 4.5-4.6

total mainline and local/arterial volumes, 4.4

Banks (wetlands), 4.63-4.65

construction impacts, 5.22

Barrier transfer vehicle storage, HOV operations, 4.16

Bicycle circulation

impacts, 4.19-4.20

parkland mitigation measures, 4(f).18

Blasting, in Charles River, fisheries impacts, 5.27

Bordering land subject to flooding, 4.63-4.65

construction impacts, 5.23

Bordering vegetated wetlands, 4.63-4.64

construction impacts, 5.22

Boston Edison Company (BECO), cable under Charles River, 5.19-5.20

Boston Garden development, 4.21, 4.23

Boston helipad, 4.19

Boston Landmarks Commission, 4(f).2

Boston Sand and Gravel (BSG), 4.21, 4.22, 4.23, 4.24

Boston Thermal Energy Corporation, Minot Station Steam Plant, 4.21, 4.23, 4.24, 4.25

construction impacts, 5.15, 5.19

property takings, 4.31-4.32

- relocation impacts, 4.33
- Boston Water and Sewer Commission (BWSC)
 - Nashua Street Outfall (NSO), 5.19
 - West Side Interceptor (WSI), 5.19
- Bridge design
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5 design refinements, 2.9
 - construction impacts on fisheries, 5.27
 - construction impacts on navigation, 5.25-5.26
 - construction methods, 5.2-5.3
 - Section 4(f) mitigation measures, 4(f).18-4(f).20
 - types, 2.1
 - visual impacts, 4.87
- Bridge Design Review Committee (BDRC)
 - concerns of, 1.4-1.5
 - design process, 1.5-1.6
- Buildings, project-related
 - visual impacts, 4.88-4.89
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 4.91
 - mitigation and enhancement measures, 4.95
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.94
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.92-4.93
 - Scheme Z, 4.90
- Bulfinch Triangle District
 - construction impacts, 5.18
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.82
- Bunker Hill Community College
 - impacts to, 4.22, 4.23, 4.25
 - MDC parkland system and, 4(f).22-4(f).23
 - MHD coordination with, 4(f).2
 - noise barrier analysis, 4.55
 - property takings, 4.31-4.32
 - Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).20-4(f).23
- Bus transportation
 - downtown terminal/bus ramp, 4.16-4.17
 - HOV operations and, 4.16-4.17
 - routes, 4.18
- Cambridge, description of affected area, 3.2
- CANA project, 3.3
 - hazardous cargo route connections to tunnels, 4.12
 - impacts to, 4.21, 4.22, 4.24
 - mitigation, 4.70, 4(f).12
 - ramps, removal of, 4(f).25-4(f).26
- Carbon monoxide (CO)
 - air quality standards, 4.41-4.42
 - conformance with Massachusetts SIP, 4.51
 - estimated areawide (mesoscale) emissions, 4.44-4.45
 - mobile source impacts, 4.45-4.47
 - pollutant analysis, 4.42-4.43
 - project emissions, 4.43
 - ventilation building emissions impacts, 4.47-4.50
- Casting basin, 5.23, 5.24
- Causeway/North Washington Streets District
 - construction impacts, 5.17-5.18
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.82
 - Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).28-4(f).29
- Central Artery, underpinning, 5.5
- Central Artery/Tunnel (CA/T) Project
 - FEIS/R (1986), 1.4
 - FSEIS/R (1991), 1.4
 - Notice of Project Change (NPC) (1992), 1.5-1.6
- Chapter 91 (Waterways) regulations, 4.57
- Charles Circle, traffic volumes, 4.5-4.6
- Charles River, 3.1
 - aquatic impacts summary, 4.77-4.78
 - bridge construction methods, 5.2-5.3
 - fisheries, 4.73-4.75, 5.26-5.27
 - granite seawalls, 4.80, 5.17
 - navigation impacts, 4.71-4.72, 5.25-5.26
 - public access, 4.67
 - regulations affecting, 4.57, 4.65

- shadow impacts, 4.74
- tunnel construction methods, 5.3-5.4
- visual effects, 4.67
- water dependency, 4.65-4.66, 4.67
- water quality, 4.75-4.76
- water quality construction impacts, 5.27-5.28
- waterways construction impacts, 5.23-5.25
- waterways functions, 4.66
- wetlands, 4.57-4.65, 5.22-5.23
- Charles River Basin District
 - construction impacts, 5.16-5.17
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.79
- Charles River Basin Extension
 - Recreation Area, Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).5-4(f).7, 4(f).17-4(f).20
- Charles River crossing
 - alternatives, 2.1-2.12
 - design refinements, 2.9-2.12
 - policy issues affecting, 1.2-1.3
- Charles River dam walkway, Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).14-4(f).15, 4(f).11
- Charles River Esplanade
 - pedestrian and bikeway circulation, 4.19-4.20
 - Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).3-4(f).5, 4(f).17-4(f).20
- Charles River Railroad Draw 1
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.81
 - Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).30
- Charlestown, description of affected area, 3.2-3.3
- Charlestown/North Washington Street Bridge
 - construction impacts, 5.17
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.81
- CIP 3, 1.5
- CIP 5, 1.5
- CIP 8, 1.5
- 15-18 City Square
 - construction impacts, 5.16
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.79
- City Square, ramp C-L, Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).21-4(f).22
- City Square Park, open space impacts, 4.31
- Clay, disposal of, 5.12-5.13
- Clean Air Act Amendments, 4.41, 4.51
- Clean Water Act, 4.57, 4.60
- Coastal zone impacts, 4.77
- Combined air quality impacts, 4.49-4.50
- Combined sewer forced main, under Charles River, 5.20
- Committee Improvement Packages (CIPs), 1.5
- Commuter rail. *See also* Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA)
 - construction impacts, 5.5, 5.9
 - existing facilities, 3.3
 - proposed projects, 3.4
- Construction impacts, 5.1-5.28
 - air quality, 5.20
 - aquatic resources, 5.22-5.28
 - archaeological resources, 5.18-5.19
 - commuter rail, 5.5, 5.9
 - construction plan, 5.1-5.9
 - cultural resources, 5.15-5.19
 - economic, 5.14-5.15
 - energy, 4.96
 - fisheries, 5.26-5.27
 - floodplains, 5.28
 - hazardous cargo routes, 5.9
 - land use, 5.14
 - materials disposal, 5.9-5.14
 - navigation, 5.24, 5.25-5.26
 - noise, 5.21
 - Section 4(f) parklands, 4(f).20
 - utilities, 5.19-5.20
 - vibration, 5.21
 - water quality, 5.27-5.28
 - waterways, 5.23-5.25
 - wetlands, 5.22-5.23
 - wildlife, 5.28
- Construction plan, 5.1-5.9
 - Charles River Basin construction

- methods, 5.2-5.3
- Charles River tunnel construction
 - methods, 5.3-5.4
 - construction staging, 5.5-5.8
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 5.6-5.7
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 5.8
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 5.7-5.8
 - Scheme Z, 5.5
 - land-based tunnel construction, 5.4-5.5
 - maintenance of traffic summary, 5.8-5.9
 - underpinning the Central Artery, 5.5
- Contraflow enforcement area, HOV operations, 4.16
- Costs, 1.3
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 4.99
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.99
 - reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.99
 - Scheme Z, 4.99
- Cultural resources, construction impacts, 5.15-5.19. *See also* Archaeological resources; Historic resource
- Design refinements, 2.9-2.12
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 2.9-2.10
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 2.11-2.12
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 2.10-2.11
- Dredged material
 - characterization and volumes, 5.10
 - disposal alternatives, 5.11
- Dredging
 - fisheries impacts, 5.27
 - navigation impacts, 5.25-5.26
 - water quality impacts, 5.27-5.28
- DSEIS/R (Charles River Crossing), scoping for, 1.6
- Economic impacts, 4.33
 - construction impacts, 5.14-5.15
- Electrical consumption, energy impacts, 4.96
- Emergency platform, 4.26
- Emergency station, 4.26
- Endangered species, 4.78
- Energy, 4.95-4.96
 - electrical consumption, 4.96
 - fuel consumption, 4.95-4.96
 - indirect energy analysis (construction energy), 4.96
 - total direct energy consumption, 4.96
- Environmental consequences, 4.1-4.98
 - air quality, 4.41-4.51
 - aquatic resources, 4.56-4.78
 - energy, 4.95-4.96
 - hazardous waste sites, 4.86-4.87
 - historic and archaeological resources, 4.78-4.86
 - land use, 4.20-4.40
 - noise and vibration, 4.51-4.56
 - threatened or endangered species, 4.78
 - transportation, 4.1-4.20
 - visual impacts, 4.87-4.95
- Excavated materials
 - disposal of, 5.13
 - historic till, 5.13
 - native clay and till, 5.12-5.13
 - organics, waste material, and asphalt, 5.13
 - volumes, 5.12
- Executive Office of Environmental Affairs (EOEA), MEPA Unit, scoping session, 1.6
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), scoping session comments, 1.6
- FEIS/R (1986), 1.4
- Fill
 - navigation impacts, 5.25-5.26
 - water quality impacts, 5.27
 - in waterways, performance standards, 4.67-4.68
- Filled tidelands, 4.65, 5.24-5.25
- Fisheries, 4.73-4.75
 - affected environment, 4.73-4.74
 - construction impacts, 5.26-5.27

impacts, 4.74-4.75
Millers River, 4.75
Fish habitat, 4.60
Flooding, land subject to, 4.63-4.65
Floodplain impacts, 4.76, 5.28
Flowed tidelands, 4.65, 5.24, 5.25
FSEIS/R (1991), 1.4
Fuel consumption, energy impacts, 4.95-4.96

General Services Administration parcel,
parkland, Section 4(f) evaluation,
4(f).10-4(f).11

Harbor plans, waterways, 4.68
Hazardous cargo routes, 4.10-4.12
 construction impacts, 5.9
 transportation impacts, 4.3
Hazardous waste sites, 4.86-4.87
Headhouses, visual impacts, 4.88
Helipad, impacts, 4.19
High-occupancy vehicles. *See* HOV
operations

Historic resources, 4.78-4.83
 affected environment, 4.78-4.79
 construction impacts, 5.16-5.18
 Bulfinch Triangle, 5.18
 Causeway/North Washington Streets
 District, 5.17-5.18
 Charles River Basin District, 5.16-5.17
 Charles River granite seawalls,
 5.17
 Charlestown/North Washington
 Street Bridge, 5, 17
 15-18 City Square, 5.16
 Massachusetts Registry of Motor
 Vehicles (100 Nashua Street),
 5.17
 North End District, 5.18
 Phipps Street Burying Ground, 5.16
 Tower A, 5.17
 Town Hill District, 5.16
 long-term impacts and mitigation
 measures, 4.79-4.83
 Bulfinch Triangle District, 4.82

Causeway/North Washington Streets
District, 4.82
Charles River Basin District, 4.79-4.80
Charles River granite seawalls,
4.80
Charles River Railroad Draw I.,
4.81
Charlestown/North Washington
Street Bridge, 4.81
15-18 City Square, 4.79
Massachusetts Registry of Motor
Vehicles (100 Nashua Street),
4.81-4.82
North End District, 4.82-4.83
Phipps Street Burying Ground, 4.79
Tower A, 4.80-4.81
Town Hill District, 4.79
Section 4(f) evaluation,
 Causeway/North Washington Streets
 District, 4(f).28-4(f).29
 Charles River Basin District,
 4(f).24-4(f).25
 Charles River Railroad Draw 1,
 4(f).30
 granite seawalls in Charles River,
 4(f).26-4(f).27
 Massachusetts Registry of Motor
 Vehicles building (100 Nashua
 Street), 4(f).27-4(f).28
 North End District, 4(f).29-4(f).30
 Town Hill Historic District,
 4(f)..30
Historic till, disposal of, 5.13
HOV operations, 4.13-4.17
 barrier transfer vehicle storage,
 4.16
 bus access and, 4.16-4.17
 compatibility with proposed HOV
 operations to north, 4.15-4.16
 contraflow enforcement area, 4.16
 northbound HOV lane, 4.14-4.15
 southbound HOV lane, 4.13-4.14
 traffic operations, 4.7, 4.8
 transportation impacts, 4.3
Hydrocarbons (HC), 4.41

- I-93 mainline
 - existing, description of, 3.3
 - northbound,
 - transportation impacts, 4.3
 - travel routes, table following page 2.12
 - southbound,
 - transportation impacts, 4.3
 - travel routes, table following page 2.12
 - traffic movements, 2.2-2.8
 - traffic operations, 4.7-4.10
 - AM peak hour, 4.7
 - Massachusetts Turnpike connections, 4.8-4.9
 - PM peak hour, 4.8
 - traffic volumes, 4.4
- I-93 ramps, northbound right-hand,
 - design refinements, 2.11
- Intersections
 - traffic operations, 4.9-4.10
 - traffic volumes, 4.6-4.7
 - transportation impacts, 4.2-4.3
- Irreversible and irretrievable
 - commitment of resources, 4.99-4.101
- Joint development impacts
 - Lomasney Way parcel, 4.34-4.40
 - MBTA air rights garage parcel, 4.34-4.40
 - MGH Nashua Street parcel, 4.33-4.40
 - Rutherford Avenue parcel, 4.34-4.40
- Landlocked tidelands, 4.65
- Land under water bodies and waterways, 4.63-4.65
 - construction impacts, 5.23
- Land use impacts, 4.20-4.40
 - construction impacts, 5.14
 - economic impacts, 4.33
 - joint development impacts, 4.99
 - Lomasney Way parcel, 4.34-4.40
 - MBTA air rights garage parcel, 4.34-4.40
 - MGH Nashua Street parcel, 4.33-4.40
 - Rutherford Avenue parcel, 4.33-4.40
 - land use, social, and farmland impacts, 4.20-4.26
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 4.20, 4.22-4.23
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.20, 4.24-4.25
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.20, 4.23-4.24
 - Scheme Z, 4.20-4.22
- open space,
 - City Square Park, 4.31
 - North Bank transportation corridor, 4.28-4.29
 - North Point, 4.26-4.27
 - South Bank transportation corridor, 4.29-4.30
 - wind impacts on pedestrians, 4.31
- project ancillary facilities, 4.25-4.26
 - relocation impacts, 4.32-4.33
 - right-of-way impacts, 4.31-4.32, 4.99
- Level of service (LOS)
 - AM peak, 4.7, 4.9
 - intersections, 4.9
 - PM peak, 4.9
 - transportation impacts, 4.2-4.3
- Leverett Circle
 - construction impacts, 5.15
 - design refinements, 2.9-2.11
 - eastbound underpass, design refinements, 2.11
 - traffic volumes, 4.5-4.6
- Leverett Circle/Storrow Drive (Charles River Esplanade), Section 4(f)
 - evaluation, 4(f).3-4(f).5, 4(f).17-4(f).20
- Light rail, impacts, 4.18
- Local streets and intersections
 - traffic volumes, 4.4-4.5
 - transportation impacts, 4.1-4.3
- Lomasney Way parcel, joint development impacts, 4.34-4.40
- Loop ramps, aesthetics and, 1.3
- Lovejoy Wharf, 4.21-4.22, 4.23, 4.24, 4.25
- Lowell Square Associates, 3.5

Maintenance facility, 4.26
 Maintenance of traffic plan, 5.8-5.9
 Massachusetts Bay Disposal Site (MBDS),
 5.10, 5.11, 5.14
 Massachusetts Bay Transportation
 Authority (MBTA)
 air rights garage, 4.22, 4.34-4.40,
 4.100
 commuter rail, 3.4, 5.5., 5.9
 commuter railroad parcel impacts,
 4.22, 4.24, 4.25
 existing facilities, 3.3-3.4
 subway stations, 4.100
 Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH),
 3.4, 4.21, 4.22, 4.23-4.24, 4.25
 construction impacts, 5.14
 Nashua Street parcel, joint
 development impacts, 4.33-4.40
 proposed development, 4.101
 Massachusetts Highway Department (MHD)
 emergency platform, 4.26
 emergency station, 4.26
 maintenance facility, 4.26
 Massachusetts Historical Commission
 (MHC), 4(f).2
 Massachusetts Registry of Motor
 Vehicles building (100 Nashua
 Street), 4.23, 4.24, 4.25
 construction impacts, 5.14, 5.15,
 5.17
 historic resources impacts and
 mitigation, 4.81-4.82
 relocation impacts, 4.32-4.33
 Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).27-
 4(f).28
 Massachusetts Statewide Implementation
 Plan (SIP), 4.41, 4.51
 Massachusetts Turnpike, connections,
 traffic operations, 4.8-4.9
 Massachusetts Water Resources
 Authority (MWRA), combined sewer
 forced main, 5.20
 Materials disposal, 5.9-5.14
 Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 5.10-5.14
 dredged material,
 characterization and volumes, 5.10
 disposal of, 5.11, 5.14
 excavated materials,
 characterization and volumes, 5.12-
 5.13
 disposal of, 5.14
 Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 5.10-
 5.14
 Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative,
 5.10-5.14
 Scheme Z, 5.10-5.14
 Materials Processing Operation (MPO),
 5.12-5.14
 Memorandum of Agreement (MOA),
 historic resources impacts and
 mitigation, 4.78
 MEPA Unit, scoping session, 1.6
 Metropolitan Boston Air Pollution
 Control District, 4.41
 Metropolitan District Commission (MDC),
 3.1. *See also* specific parklands
 buildings, visual impacts, 4.88-4.89
 Bunker Hill Community College
 Section 4(f) property and,
 4(f).21-4(f).22
 Central Services Facility, 4.26
 master plan, 4.28, 4(f).1-4(f).2
 parklands, Section 4(f) evaluation,
 4(f).1-4(f).20
 proposed improvements, 3.4
 Millers River, 3.1
 aquatic impacts summary, 4.77-4.78
 fisheries, 4.75
 regulations affecting, 4.57, 4.65
 water dependency finding, 4.65-4.66
 water quality, 4.75-4.76
 water quality construction impacts,
 5.27-5.28
 waterways construction impacts, 5.23-
 5.25
 waterways functions, 4.66
 wetlands, 4.57-4.65
 wetlands construction impacts and
 mitigation, 5.23
 Mitigation measures
 archaeological resources, 4.86
 CANA project, 4.70

- historic resources, 4.79-4.83
- navigation, 4.71
- noise, 4.55-4.56, 5.21
- parklands, 4.71
- public access, 4.71
- vibration, 5.21
- visual impacts, 4.95
- waterways, 4.70-4.71
- wetlands, 5.23
- Mobile source carbon monoxide (CO)
 - impacts, 4.45-4.47, 4.50
- Nashua Street Outfall (NSO), 5.19
- Nashua Street Park/Spaulding Walkway,
 - Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).7-4(f).9, 4(f).17-4(f).20
- 100 Nashua Street. *See* Massachusetts Registry of Motor Vehicles building
- National Park Service, 4(f).2
- Native clay and till, disposal of, 5.12-5.13
- Navigation, 4.71-4.73
 - construction impacts, 5.24, 5.25-5.26
 - mitigation measures, 4.71
 - shadows, 4.72
 - structures in water, 4.71-4.72
 - visual effects, 4.72
 - wind effects, 4.72
- Neighborhoods, 3.2-3.3
- New Boston Garden Corporation (NBGC), 3.4-3.5, 4.101
- New Sudbury Street on-ramp, 5.15, 4(f).29-4(f).30
- Nitrogen oxides (NO_x)
 - air quality standards, 4.41
 - estimated areawide (mesoscale) emissions, 4.44-4.45
 - pollutant analysis, 4.42-4.43
 - project emissions, 4.43
 - ventilation building emissions impacts, 4.47-4.50
- Noise, 4.51-4.56
 - affected environment, 4.52
 - construction impacts, 5.21
 - existing levels, 4.52-4.53
 - impacts, 4.52-4.55
 - mitigation measures, 4.55-4.56, 5.21
 - traffic, 4.52-4.54
 - ventilation, 4.54-4.55
- Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC), 4.52
- Noise barrier analysis, 4.55-4.56
- Noncriteria toxic air pollutants,
 - analysis, 4.42
- Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 2.1
 - archaeological impacts and mitigation, 4.83-4.86
- AWDT, 4.4
- comparison of major design features,
 - table following page 2.1
- construction staging, 5.8
- Costs, 4.99
- description, 2.7-2.8
- design refinements, 2.11-2.12
- economic impacts, 4.33
- energy impacts, 4.95-4.96
- fisheries impacts, 4.74-4.75, 5.26-5.27
- hazardous cargo connections to CANA tunnels, 4.12
- historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.79-4.83, 4(f).23-4(f).30
- HOV operations, 4.13-4.16
- I-93 northbound right-hand exit,
 - design refinements, 2.11
- joint development impacts, 4.38-4.40
- land use, social, and farmland impacts, 4.20, 4.24-4.25
- materials disposal, 5.10-5.14
- mobile source CO impacts, 4.46-4.47
- navigation impacts, 4.71-4.73, 5.25-5.26
- noise impacts, 4.53
- open space impacts, 4.26-4.31
- parklands, 4(f).23-4(f).30
- productivity impacts, 4.98
- right-of-way impacts, 4.31-4.32
- roadway links, 2.7-2.8
- Section 4(f) evaluation,
 - historic resources, 4(f).23-4(f).30
 - parklands, 4(f).3-4(f).23
 - structures, 2.7

- traffic operations, 4.7-4.10
- traffic safety, 4.12-4.13
- traffic volumes, 4.4-4.7
- transportation impacts summary, 4.2-4.3
- ventilation buildings, 4.49, 4.54
- visual impacts, 4.93-4.94
- water quality impacts, 4.75-4.76, 5.27-5.28
- waterways impacts, 4.67-4.71, 4.77-4.78, 5.23-5.25
- wetlands impacts, 4.60, 4.62, 4.64, 4.77-4.78, 5.22-5.23
- North Bank transportation corridor,
 - open space impacts, 4.28-4.29
- North End
 - construction impacts, 5.15
 - description of affected area, 3.2
- North End District
 - construction impacts, 5.18
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.82-4.83
 - Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).29-4(f).30
- North Point area, 3.4
 - noise barrier analysis, 4.55
 - open space impacts, 4.26-4.27
 - proposed development, 4.101
- North Station area
 - description, 3.2
 - parking garage, 4.17
 - proposed projects, 3.4
 - railroad yard, construction impacts, 5.5, 5.9
- North Station/South Station Rail Link, 3.4, 4.19, 4.100
- Notice of Project Change (NPC) (1992), 1.5-1.6
- Open space. *See also* Parklands
 - proposed improvements, 3.4
- Open space impacts
 - City Square Park, 4.31
 - North Bank transportation corridor, 4.28-4.29
 - North Point, 4.26-4.27
 - South Bank transportation corridor, 4.29-4.30
 - wind impacts on pedestrians, 4.31
- Organic materials, disposal of, 5.13
- Ozone (O₃)
 - air quality standards, 4.41-4.42
 - estimated areawide (mesoscale) emissions, 4.44-4.45
 - pollutant analysis, 4.42-4.43
- Parking facilities, 4.17
- Parkland at former GSA site, Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).17-4(f).20, 4(f).10-4(f).11
- Parklands. *See also* Open space
 - irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources, 4.100
- MDC master plan, 4(f).1-4(f).2
- mitigation measures, 4.71
- pedestrian and bikeway circulation, 4.19-4.20
- proposed improvements, 3.4
- Section 4(f) evaluation,
 - Bunker Hill Community College, 4(f).20-4(f).23
 - Charles River Basin Extension Recreation Area, 4(f).5-4(f).7, 4(f).17-4(f).20
 - comparison of impacts, 4(f).17-4(f).20, 4(f).16-4(f).17
 - Leverett Circle/Storrow Drive, 4(f).3-4(f).5, 4(f).17-4(f).20
 - measures to minimize harm, 4(f).17-4(f).20
 - Nashua Street Park/Spaulding Walkway, 4(f).7-4(f).9, 4(f).17-4(f).20
 - parkland at former GSA site, 4(f).17-4(f).20, 4(f).10-4(f).11
 - Paul Revere Landing Park, 4(f).17-4(f).20, 4(f).11-4(f).16
- visual impacts, 4.88
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 4.91
 - mitigation and enhancement measures, 4.95

- Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.94
- Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.92-4.93
- Scheme Z, 4.90
- Particulate matter (PM₁₀), 4.41
 - estimated areawide (mesoscale) emissions, 4.44-4.45
 - pollutant analysis, 4.42-4.43
 - project emissions, 4.43-4.44
 - ventilation building emissions impacts, 4.47-4.50
- Paul Revere Landing Park
 - Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).17-4(f).20, 4(f).11-4(f).16
 - Charles River dam walkway, 4(f).14-4(f).15, 4(f).11
 - North Bank, 4(f).15
 - South Bank, 4(f).15-4(f).16, 4(f).12-4(f).14
- Pedestrians
 - parkland mitigation measures, 4(f).18
 - wind impacts, 4.31
- Phipps Street Burying Ground
 - construction impacts, 5.16
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.79
- PM peak
 - 93 mainline traffic operations, 4.4.8
 - intersection traffic operations, 4.9-4.10
- Productivity, short-term impacts and, 4.96-4.98
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 4.97-4.98
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.98
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.98
 - Scheme Z, 4.97
- Property takings, 4.31-4.32, 4.99
- Public access
 - construction impacts, 5.24
 - mitigation measures, 4.71
 - waterways, 4.67
- Public rights, waterways, 4.68-4.70
- Public transportation, 4.18-4.19
 - Boston helipad, 4.18-4.19
 - bus routes, 4.18
 - downtown bus ramp, 4.16-4.17
 - existing facilities, 3.3
 - light rail, 4.18
 - North Station/South Station Rail Link, 4.19
 - proposed projects, 3.4
 - rail rapid transit, 4.18-4.19
- Purpose and need for action
 - CA/T Project, 1.1-1.2
 - Charles River crossing, 1.2-1.3
- Ramps
 - C-L, City Square, 4(f).21
 - C-T, in tunnel, design refinements, 2.12
 - downtown, bus access, 4.16-4.17
 - I-93 northbound right-hand, design refinements, 2.11
 - New Sudbury Street on-ramp, 5.15
 - Rutherford Avenue, 4.84-4.86
- Rapid transit
 - existing facilities, 3.3-3.4
 - impacts, 4.18-4.19
 - proposed projects, 3.4
- Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 2.1
 - archaeological impacts and mitigation, 4.83-4.86
 - AWDT, 4.4
 - comparison of major design features, table following page 2.1
 - construction staging, 5.7-5.8
 - costs, 4.99
 - description, 2.5-2.6
 - design refinements, 2.10-2.11
 - economic impacts, 4.33
 - energy impacts, 4.95-4.96
 - fisheries impacts, 4.74-4.75, 5.26-5.27
 - hazardous cargo connections to CANA tunnels, 4.12
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.79-4.83, 4(f).23-4(f).30
 - HOV operations, 4.13-4.16
 - I-93 northbound right-hand exit, design refinements, 2.11

- joint development impacts, 4.37-4.38
- land use, social, and farmland
 - impacts, 4, 23-24, 4.20
- materials disposal, 5.10-5.14
- mobile source CO impacts, 4.46-4.47
- navigation impacts, 4.71-4.73, 5.25-5.26
- noise impacts, 4.53
- open space impacts, 4.26-4.31
- parklands, 4(f).3-4(f).23
- productivity impacts, 4.98
- relocation impacts, 4.32-4.33
- right-of-way impacts, 4.31-4.32
- river tunnel realignment, 2.10
- roadway links, 2.5-2.6
- Section 4(f) evaluation,
 - historic resources, 4(f).23-4(f).30
 - parklands, 4(f).3-4(f).23
- structures, 2.5
- traffic operations, 4.7-4.10
- traffic safety, 4.12-4.13
- traffic volumes, 4.4-4.6
- transportation impacts summary, 4.2-4.3
- ventilation buildings, 4.23, 4.49, 4.54
- visual impacts, 4.91-4.93
- water quality impacts, 4.75-4.76, 5.27-5.28
- waterways impacts, 4.67-4.71, 4.77-4.78, 5.23-5.25
- wetlands impacts, 4.60, 4.62, 4.64, 4.77-4.78, 5.22-5.23
- Registry building. *See* Massachusetts Registry of Motor Vehicles
- Relocation impacts, 4.32-4.33
- Resources, irreversible and irretrievable commitment of, 4.99-4.101
- Right-of-way impacts, 4.31-4.32, 4.99
- River tunnel
 - construction impacts, 5.3-5.4
 - fisheries, 5.27
 - navigation, 5.26
 - design refinements, 2.10
 - two-way, 2.11
- Roadway links
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 2.3-2.4
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 2.7-2.8
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 2.5-2.6
 - Scheme Z, 2.2
- Roadway system, transportation impacts, 4.2-4.3
- Route 1
 - southbound travel routes, table following page 2.12
 - traffic movements, 2.2-2.8
- Rutherford Avenue off-ramp
 - archaeological resources impacts, 4.84-4.86
 - Section 4(5) parkland impacts, 4(f).21-4(f).2
- Rutherford Avenue parcel, joint development impacts, 4.34-4.40
- Scheme Z, 2.1
 - aesthetic impacts, 1.2-1.3
 - archaeological impacts and mitigation, 4.83-4.86
 - AWDT, 4.4
 - comparison of major design features, table following page 2.1
 - construction staging, 5.6
 - costs, 1.3, 4.99
 - description, 2.2
 - economic impacts, 4.33
 - energy impacts, 4.95-4.96
 - fisheries impacts, 4.74-4.75, 5.26-5.27
 - hazardous cargo connections to CANA tunnels, 4.12
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.79-4.83, 4(f).23-4(f).30
 - HOV operations, 4.13-4.16
 - joint development impacts, 4.34-4.35
 - land use, social, and farmland impacts, 4.20-4.22
 - materials disposal, 5.10-5.14
 - mobile source CO impacts, 4.46-4.47
 - navigation impacts, 4.71-4.73, 5.25-

5.26
 noise impacts, traffic, 4.53
 open space impacts, 4.26-4.31
 parklands, 4(f).3-4(f).23
 policy issues affecting, 1.2-1.3
 productivity impacts, 4.97
 reduction in mass of, 1.3
 relocation impacts, 4.32-4.33
 right-of-way impacts, 4.31-4.32
 roadway links, 2.2
 Section 4(f) evaluation,
 historic resources, 4(f).23-4(f).30
 parklands, 4(f).3-4(f).23
 structures, 2.2
 traffic operations, 1.3, 4.7-4.10
 traffic safety, 1.3, 4.12-4.13
 traffic volumes, 4.4-4.6
 transportation impacts summary, 4.2-4.3
 ventilation buildings, 4.49
 visual impacts, 4.89-4.90
 water quality impacts, 4.75-4.76, 5.27-5.28
 waterways impacts, 4.67-4.71, 4.77-4.78, 5.23-5.25
 wetlands impacts, 4.59-4.60, 4.62, 4.64, 4.77-4.78, 5.22-5.23
 Seawalls, Charles River
 construction impacts, 5.17
 historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.80
 Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).1-4(f).30
 background and purpose, 4(f).1
 coordination, 4(f).1-4(f).2
 historic resources, 4(f).23-4(f).30
 Causeway/North Washington Street District, 4(f).28-4(f).29
 Charles River Basin District, 4(f).24-4(f).25
 Charles River Railroad Draw 1, 4(f).30
 granite seawalls in Charles River, 4(f).26-4(f).27
 North End District, 4(f).29-4(f).30
 Registry of Motor Vehicles building (100 Nashua Street), 4(f).27-4(f).28
 Tower A, 4(f).25-4(f).26
 Town Hill Historic District, 4(f).30
 parklands, 4(f).2-4(f).23
 Bunker Hill Community College, 4(f).20-4(f).23
 Charles River Basin Extension Recreation Area, 4(f).5-4(f).7, 4(f).17-4(f).20
 comparison of impacts on, 4(f).17-4(f).20, 4(f).16-4(f).17
 Leverett Circle/Storrow Drive, 4(f).3-4(f).5, 4(f).17-4(f).20
 measures to minimize harm, 4(f).17-4(f).20
 Nashua Street Park/Spaulding Walkway, 4(f).7-4(f).9, 4(f).17-4(f).20
 parkland at former GSA site, 4(f).17-4(f).20, 4(f).10-4(f).11
 Paul Revere Landing Park, 4(f).17-4(f).20, 4(f).11-4(f).16
 Shadows
 fisheries impacts, 4.74
 irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources, 4.100
 navigation impacts, 4.72
 South Bank transportation corridor, open space impacts, 4.29-4.30
 Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, 4.21, 4.23, 4.24, 4.25
 construction impacts, 5.14, 5.15
 property takings, 4.31-4.32
 relocation impacts, 4.33
 walkway, Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f).7-4(f).9
 Stafford Street apartments, noise barrier analysis, 4.55
 Stillman Place, 5.18, 4(f).29-4(f).30
 Storrow Drive
 eastbound travel routes, table following page 2.12
 Leverett Circle/Nashua Street redesign and, 2.9-2.11

- noise barrier analysis, 4.55
- traffic movements, 2.2-2.8
- traffic volumes, 4.5-4.6
- Structures
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 2.3
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 2.7
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 2.5
 - Scheme Z, 2.2
- Suffolk County Jail, 5.14
- Threatened species, 4.78
- Tidelands
 - filled, 4.65, 5.24-5.25
 - flowed, 4.65, 5.24, 5.25
 - landlocked, 4.65
- Till, disposal of, 5.12-5.13
- Tower A
 - construction impacts, 5.17
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.80
- Town Hill Historic District
 - construction impacts, 5.16
 - historic resources impacts and mitigation, 4.79
 - Section 4(f) evaluation, 4(f)..30
- Toxic air pollutants, 4.42
- Traffic impacts
 - maintenance of traffic plans, 5.8-5.9
 - mobile source CO, 4.45-4.47
 - noise, 4.52-4.54
 - safety, 1.3, 4.12-4.13
 - vibration, 4.56
- Traffic movements
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 2.3-2.4
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 2.7-2.8
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 2.5-2.6
 - Scheme Z, 2.2-2.3
- Traffic operations
 - intersections, 4.9-4.10
 - issues in, 1.3
 - mainline, 4.7-4.9
- Traffic volumes, 4.4-4.7
 - I-93 mainline, 4.4
 - I-93 mainline and local/arterial volume totals, 4.4-4.5
 - intersection volumes, 4.6-4.7
 - Leverett Circle/Storrow Drive/Charles Circle, 4.5-4.6
- Transportation
 - base year, 4.1
 - design year, 4.1
 - existing facilities, 3.3-3.4
 - forecasting methodology, 4.2
 - forecasting methodology, 4.2
 - study area, 4.1-4.2
- Transportation impacts, 4.1-4.20
 - bicycle circulation, 4.19-4.20
 - hazardous cargo routes, 4.3, 4.10-4.12
 - HOV operations, 4.3, 4.13-4.17
 - I-93 northbound, 4.3
 - I-93 southbound, 4.3
 - local streets and intersections, 4.2-4.3
 - parking facilities, 4.17
 - pedestrian circulation, 4.19-4.20
 - public transportation, 4.18-4.19
 - safety, 4.12-4.13
 - traffic operations, 4.7-4.10
 - intersections, 4.9-4.10
 - mainline, 4.7-4.9
 - traffic volumes, 4.4-4.7
 - I-93 mainline, 4.4
 - I-93 mainline and local/arterial volume totals, 4.4-4.5
 - intersection volumes, 4.6-4.7
 - Leverett Circle/Storrow Drive/Charles Circle, 4.5-4.6
 - truck movements, 4.10-4.12
 - vehicle hours travelled (VHT), 4.10
 - vehicle miles travelled/vehicle hours travelled, 4.3
 - vehicle miles travelled (VMT), 4.10
- Travel routes
 - from I-93 northbound, table following page 2.12
 - from I-93 southbound, table following page 2.12
 - from Route 1 southbound, table following page 2.12
 - from Storrow Drive eastbound, table

- following page 2.12
- Truck movements, 4.10-4.12
- Tunnels
 - Charles River,
 - construction impacts, 5.3-5.4, 5.26, 5.27
 - construction methods, 5.3-5.4
 - design, 2.10, 2.11
 - land-based, construction methods, 5.4-5.5
 - Tunnel sections, traffic safety and, 4.13
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
 - scoping session comments, 1.6
 - wetlands regulations, 4.60-4.62
- Utilities, construction impacts, 5.19-5.20
- Vehicle hours travelled (VHT), 4.10
 - transportation impacts, 4.3
- Vehicle miles travelled (VMT), 4.10
 - transportation impacts, 4.3
- Ventilation buildings
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5 design refinements, 2.9
 - combined mobile source/ventilation building impacts, 4.50
 - emissions impacts, 4.47-4.50
 - noise impacts, 4.54-4.55
 - pollutant analysis, 4.42
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.23
 - stack height, 4.47-4.48
 - visual impacts, 4.88
- Vibration, 4.51
 - affected environment, 4.56
 - construction impacts, 5.21
 - existing levels, 4.56
 - impacts, 4.56
- Views of and from the road, 4.88
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 4.90-4.91
 - mitigation and enhancement measures, 4.95
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.93-4.94
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.92
 - Scheme Z, 4.89
- Visual impacts, 4.87-4.95
 - affected environment, 4.88
 - bridge design, 4.87
 - issues, 1.2-1.3
 - mitigation and enhancement measures, 4.95
 - navigation impacts, 4.72
 - parkland, 4.88
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 4.91
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.94
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.92-4.93
 - Scheme Z, 4.90
 - project-related buildings, 4.88-4.89
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 4.91
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.94
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.93
 - Scheme Z, 4.90
 - views, 4.88
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 4.90-4.91
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.93-4.94
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.91-4.92
 - Scheme Z, 4.89
- waterways, 4.67, 4.88
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 4.91
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.94
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.92
 - Scheme Z, 4.89-4.90
- Volatile organic compounds (VOC)
 - air quality standards, 4.41-4.42
 - estimated areawide (mesoscale) emissions, 4.44-4.45
 - pollutant analysis, 4.42
 - project emissions, 4.43
- Waste materials, disposal of, 5.13
- Water bodies, land under, 4.63-4.65
- Waterbody modification impacts, 4.76
- Water-dependency, Charles River, 4.65-

4.67, 4.69-4.70

Water quality

- Charles River, 4.75-4.76
- construction impacts, 5.27-5.28
- Millers River, 4.75-4.76

Water Resources Subcommittee (WRS), 4.56-4.57

Waterways, 4.65-4.71. *See also*

- Charles River; Millers River
- affected environment, 4.65-4.66
- Charles River waterways functions, 4.66
- construction impacts, 5.23-5.25
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 5.23-5.25
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 5.23-5.25
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 5.23-5.25
 - Scheme Z, 5.23-5.25
- environmental protection standards, 4.68
- fill and structure restrictions, 4.67-4.68
- impacts, 4.70
- jurisdiction, 4.65
- land under, 4.63-4.65
- long-range impacts, 4.66-4.67
- Millers River waterways functions, 4.66
- mitigation measures, 4.70-4.71
- performance standards, 4.67-4.68
- public access, 4.67
- public rights, 4.68-4.69
- structures in, 4.71-4.72
- visual impacts, 4.57, 4.88
 - Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 4.91
 - mitigation and enhancement measures, 4.95
 - Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.94
 - Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.92
 - Scheme Z, 4.89-4.90
- water dependency, 4.65-4.66
- water-dependent uses, 4.67, 4.69-4.70
- zoning and harbor plan conformance, 4.68

West End, description of affected area, 3.2

West End Urban Renewal Area, proposed development, 4.101

West Side Interceptor (WSI), 5.19

Wetlands, 4.57-4.65

- Federal wetlands, 4.57-4.62, 5.22
- functions and, values, 4.58-4.59
- irreversible and irretrievable
 - commitment of resources, 4.100
- regulatory analysis, Federal wetlands, 4.60-4.62
- State wetlands, 4.62-4.65, 5.22-5.23
 - performance standards, 4.64-4.65
 - presumptions of significance, 4.63

Wetlands impacts

- Alternative 8.1D Mod 5, 4.59-4.60, 4.62, 4.64, 5.22-5.23
- construction impacts, 5.22-5.23
- fish habitat, 4.60
- mitigation measures, 5.23
- Non-River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.60, 4.62, 4.64, 5.22-5.23
- nutrient retention and transformation, 4.60
- Reduced River-Tunnel Alternative, 4.60, 4.62, 4.64, 5.22-5.23
- Scheme Z, 4.59-4.60, 4.62, 4.64, 5.22-5.23
- sediment and shoreline stabilization, 4.60
- sediment/toxicant retention, 4.60

Wetlands Protection Act, 4.57

Wildlife

- Charles and Millers Rivers, 4.59
- impacts, 4.76, 5.28
- threatened or endangered species, 4.78

Wind impacts

- navigation impacts, 4.72
- pedestrians, 4.31

Zoning, waterways, 4.68

